BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1	DEFORE THE ARIZONA CORI ORATION COMMISSION
2	KRISTIN K. MAYES
3	Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission  GARY PIERCE DOCKETED
4	Commissioner PAUL NEWMAN JUN - 5 2009
5	Commissioner SANDRA D. KENNEDY  DOCKETED BY
6	BOB STUMP
7	Commissioner
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) DOCKET NOS. E-01933A-07-0402
9	OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER E-01933A-05-0650 COMPANY FOR APPROVAL TO REVISE
10	ITS DSM SURCHARGE BEGINNING DECISION NO. 71106  JUNE 1, 2009
11	ORDER
12	
13 14	Open Meeting May 27 and 28, 2009 Phoenix, Arizona
15	BY THE COMMISSION:
16	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>
17	1. Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or "the Company") is engaged in
18	providing electric power within portions of Arizona, pursuant to authority granted by the Arizona
19	Corporation Commission.
20	2. On March 24, 2009, TEP filed an application for approval to reset its demand-side
21	management ("DSM") adjustor rate, in accordance with Decision No. 70628.
22	3. The TEP DSM adjustor mechanism allows the Company to recover the cost of its
23	DSM programs; the adjustor is based on projected spending for the Company's DSM <sup>1</sup> programs.
24	Funding for these programs is collected based on the adjustor rate approved by the Commission.
25	
26	
27	DSM is the planning, implementation, and evaluation of programs to shift peak load to off-peak hours, to reduce
2-8	peak demand ("kW") and/or to reduce energy consumption ("kWh" or "therms") in a cost-effective manner. DSM may include energy efficiency, load management, and demand response.

4

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

program year.

<sup>2</sup> From the \$721,000 originally proposed for 2009 to \$1,490,724.

The \$57,585 (20%) was originally based on a preliminary budget for the baseline study. Although the overall budget was later modified, the Company has indicated that \$57,585 remains the appropriate spending level for the 2009-2010

Under- or over-collections are then "trued-up" at the next adjustor rate reset, meaning that the negative or positive balances are taken into account when the new adjustor rate is set.

- Prior to the Commission's Decision No. 70628, the Company's DSM costs were 4. recovered through base rates. The Decision established the DSM adjustor and set the current adjustor rate at \$0.000639 per kWh, to be applied to all kWh sales. Also, pursuant to Decision No. 70628, TEP was to file an application by April 1st of each year for Commission approval to reset the adjustor rate, with the new rate to take effect on June 1st of each year.
- The Company is requesting an increase of \$0.000214 in the adjustor rate, from the 5. current \$0.000639 per kWh to \$0.000853 per kWh. The increase is based on an under-collected balance from December 2008 and a projected 2009 budget for TEP's DSM portfolio, including the cost of developing a database designed to assist in program management and track program results.
- Currently, the TEP DSM programs include the following: (i) the Education and 6. Outreach Program; (ii) the Low-Income Weatherization Program; (iii) the Guarantee Home Program; (iv) the Efficient Home Cooling Program; (v) the Shade Tree Program; (vi) the Energy Star Lighting ("CFL") Program; (vii) the Non-Residential Existing Facilities Program; (viii) the Small Business Program; and (ix) the Efficient Commercial Building Design Program. The proposed budget includes downward adjustments for some programs due to the current economic climate.
- The adjustor rate proposed by the Company also includes amounts for three items 7. not yet approved by the Commission: (i) a proposed increase2 in the TEP CFL program budget, which was filed with the Commission on April 9, 2009, and which is based on the program's high participation rates since inception; (ii) \$57,585 (20%) <sup>3</sup> of the cost of the proposed Baseline Study for TEP, which was filed with the Commission on March 17, 2009; and (iii) 25 percent of the budget for a Direct Load Control program not yet filed with the Commission. (The Company is

<sup>71106</sup> Decision No.

2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

requesting \$212,888 for the Direct Load Control program, which would equal 25 percent of the proposed program's budget.)

The table below details TEP's proposed costs for 2009 and 2008 actual expenditures.

Program	2009 Budget	2008 Expenditures
Education and Outreach	\$551,000	\$402,158
Direct Load Control (25%)	\$212,888	-0-
Residential Efficiency Programs		
Low-Income Weatherization	\$261,620	\$136,518
Guarantee Home	\$2,414,536	\$1,676,928
Efficient Home Cooling	\$428,024	\$61,706
Shade Tree Program	\$160,000	\$137,827
Energy Star Lighting (CFL)	\$1,490,724	\$344,287
Non-Residential Efficiency Programs		
Non-Residential Existing Facilities	\$602,900	\$102,081
Small Business	\$1,102,800	\$91,109
Efficient Commercial Building Design	\$449,574	\$33,956
Program Totals	\$7,674,067	\$2,986,570
Measurement, Evaluation and Research	-0-4	\$337,7785
Customer Care and Billing ("CC&B")	\$39,595	-0-
database development		
TEP Baseline Study allocation	\$57,585	-0-
Totals, with MER, CC&B, Study costs	\$7,771,247	\$3,324,3476
Amount under-collected for 2008	\$378,007	
Total proposed to be collected through		
DSM Adjustor for 2009	\$8,149,254	

9. Staff has recommended that the DSM adjustor rate be reset to include the 2008 costs already incurred, \$57,585 (20%) percent of the Baseline Study cost, and the costs projected for existing programs for 2009, including the higher budget for the CFL program. The Company has indicated that its projections are reasonable, given the level of program activity and participation. Staff's review of TEP's semi-annual DSM progress report for July-December 2008, filed April 1, 2009, also indicates that the level of funding proposed by the Company for its existing programs is reasonable.

Zero because measurement, evaluation and research work already included in individual program budgets for 2009. Includes costs for work on the CC&B database in 2008; work on the CC&B database is broken out separately for 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Most of this amount was collected through base rates, prior to the December 2008 Settlement Agreement. In December 2008 \$606,662 was expended on DSM program; of that amount \$228,655 was collected through the DSM Adjustor, leaving a balance of \$378,007 to be collected for 2008.

10. Staff has recommended that the \$212,888 proposed for the Direct Load Control program not be included in the DSM adjustor rate for 2009. The application for approval of the Direct Load Control program has yet to be filed for Commission approval and there is insufficient information on which to base a Staff recommendation for this amount. Subtracting the \$212,888 for Direct Load Control from the proposed \$8,149,254 total results in a total of \$7,936,366 to be recovered, at a DSM adjustor rate of \$0.000831 per kWh (an increase of \$0.000192 per kWh).

11. Typical monthly bill impacts for Residential customers are listed below:

Average monthly usage by Season	kWh	Monthly increase from Company proposal (\$0.000214)	Monthly increase from Staff proposal (\$0.000192)	Monthly total based on Company proposal (\$0.000853)	Monthly total based on Staff proposal (\$0.000831)
Summer	1131	\$0.24	\$0.22	\$0.97	\$0.94
Winter	694	\$0.15	\$0.013	\$0.59	\$.58

12. In order to protect TEP's low income residential customers from an increase in their monthly bills, we believe that any residential customer on a TEP low income discount rate should not be charged the DSM rate at this time. The monies that TEP would otherwise recover from the low income residential customers should be retained in TEP's DSM account and be deal with in the 2010 adjustor docket. This initiative would have no effect on the DSM rate all other retail customers would pay at this time.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. TEP is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over TEP and over the subject matter of the application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum dated May 12, 2009, and concludes that it is in the public interest to approve the TEP DSM Surcharge beginning June 1, 2009, as discussed herein.

## ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Tucson Electric Power Company DSM adjustor rate be and hereby is, set at a level of \$0.000831 per kWh, beginning June 1, 2009.

Page 5 Docket Nos. E-01933A-07-0402, et al. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that recovery for a Direct Load Control program not be included in the current DSM adjustor rate at this time. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any residential customer on a Tucson Electric Power Company low income discount rate shall not be charged the Demand-Side Management rate and the monies that Tucson Electric Power company would otherwise recover from the low income residential customers shall be retained in Tucson Electric Power Company's Demand-Side Management account and be dealt with in the 2010 adjustor docket. 

Decision No. 71106

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Tucson Electric Power Company shall provide appropriate notice of the Commission's consideration of this matter (exempting low income customers for DSM and recovery of DSM funds not paid by low income customers) to its customers and all parties of record in its last permanent rate case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

## BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

De	2	Bu
	СНА	IKMAN

**COMMISSIONER** 

**COMMISSIONER** 

MICHAEL P. KEARNS

INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, MICHAEL P. KEARNS, Interim

Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 5TH day of THINE

17 18

15

16

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28 EGJ:JMK:lhm\RM

DISSENT:

DISSENT:

1 2	SERVICE LIST FOR: TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY DOCKET NOS. E-01933A-07-0402 and E-01933A-05-0650			
3				
ر	Mr. Peter Nyce	Mr. Michael McElrath		
4	Office of the Judge Advocate Gen.	Phelps Dodge Mining Company		
- 1	901 North Stuart Street, Suite 713	One North Central Avenue		
5	Arlington, Virginia 22203-1644	Phoenix, Arizona 85004		
6	Mr. Philip J. Dion	Ms. Deborah Scott		
7	UniSource Energy Services	Pinnacle West Corporation		
	One South Church Avenue, Suite 200	400 East Van Buren Street		
8	Tucson, Arizona 85701	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202		
9	Mr. Michael W. Patten	Mr. Daniel Pozefsky		
_	Mr. Jason Gellman	RUCO		
10	Roshka DeWulf & Patten, PLC.	1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220		
	One Arizona Center	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
11	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800	•		
12	Phoenix, Arizona 85044	Mr. Greg Patterson		
		916 West Adams, Suite 3		
13	Michael Kurtz	Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
14	36 East Seventh Street, Suite 2110			
14	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	Mr. C. Webb Crockett		
15		3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600		
	Mr. Kurt Boehm	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913		
16	Boehm, Hurtz & Lowry	M. Marin. C. II.		
17	36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510	Mr. William Sullivan		
1/	Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	501 East Thomas Road		
18	Mr. Eric Guidry	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-3205		
	2260 Baseline Road, Suite 200	Mr. Dan Neidlinger		
19	Boulder, Colorado 80302	Neidlinger & Assoc.		
20	Doubles, Colorado Cosos	3020 North 17 Drive		
20	Mr. Nicholas Enoch	Phoenix, Arizona 85015		
21	349 North Fourth Avenue	,		
22	Phoenix, Arizona 8500	Ms. Cynthia Zwick		
22		1940 East Luke Avenue		
23	Mr. Timothy Hogan	Phoenix, Arizona 8501		
	202 East McDowell Road, Suite 153			
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Mr. Michael Grant		
2.5		2575 East Camelback Road		
25	Mr. Gary Yaquinto	Phoenix, Arizona 85016-922		
26	Arizona Utility Investors Association	9.00.11		
	2100 North Central Avenue, Suite 210	S. Childers		
27	Phoenix, Arizona 85004	Low & Childers, P.C.		
20	,	2999 North 44th Street, Suite 250		
28		Phoenix, Arizona 85018		

Decision No. **71106** 

1	Ms. Ruth Kern
2	8222 South 48th Street, Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85044
3	Mr. Thomas Mumaw
4	Post Office Box 53999, MS 9905 Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999
5	
6	Ms. Barbara Klemstine Post Office Box 53999, MS 9905
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85072-3999
8	Mr. David Berry Post Office Box 1064
9	Scottsdale, Arizona 85252-1064
10	Mr. Christopher Hitchcock
11	Law Offices of Christopher Hitchcock, PLC One Copper Queen Plaza
12	Post Office Box AT Bisbee, Arizona 85603
13	MR. Daniel Haws
14	OSJA, ATTN: ATZS-JAD
15	USA Intelligence Center and Ft. Huachuca Ft. Huachuca, Arizona 85613-6000
16	MR. Lawrence Robertson, Jr.
17	2247 East Frontage Road, Suite 1 Post Office Box 1448
18	Tubac, Arizona 85646
19	Mr. Raymond Heyman
20	Unisource Energy Corporation One South Church, Suite 1820
21	Tucson, Arizona 85701
22	Ms. Michelle Livengood
23	Tucson Electric Power Company One South Church Avenue, Suite 200
24	Tucson, Arizona 85701
25	Mr. David Couture 4350 East Irvington Road
26	Post Office Box 711, Mail Stop OH 122
27	Tucson, Arizona 85702
00	ı,

Mr. Jeff Schlegel 1167 West Samalayuca Drive Tucson, Arizona 85704-3224

Mr. John O'Hare 3865 North Tucson Boulevard Tucson, Arizona 85716

Mr. Billy Burtnett 3341 North Riverbend Circle East Tucson, Arizona 85750-2509

Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ms. Janice M. Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007